1		
BRADLEY S. MAINOR, ESQ.		
ADAM ELLIS, ESQ.		
Nevada Bar No. 14514 MAINOR ELLIS, LLP		
8367 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147		
Phone: (702) 450-5000		
adam@me-injury.com		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
ELLEN REEVES, individually,	CASE NO: 2:22-cv-01361-GMN-DJA	
Plaintiff,		
VS.	RENEWED DISCOVERY PLAN AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER	
DISCOVER YOUR MOBILITY, INC., a foreign corporation: SOLO WORLD	SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW	
PARTNERS, LLC, a foreign limited liability	REQUESTED	
individually; DOE MANAGERS I-V,		
ndividually; ROE MANUFACTURERS I-X; ROE INSPECTION COMPANIES I-V; ROE		
l '		
inclusive,		
Defendants.		
Plaintiff ELLEN REEVES, by and through her counsel of record ADAM ELLIS, ESQ.;		
Discovery Your Mobility, Inc., by and through its counsel of record ADAM KNECHT, ESQ., and		
SOLO WORLD PARTNERS, LLC, by and t	through its counsel of record JOHN KRIEGER,	
ESQ hereby submit their Renewed Discovery	y Plan and Scheduling Order pursuant to Fed R.	
Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1, as Defendar	nt Solo World Partners, LLC recently appeared in	
the case.		
I. REASON FOR SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW		
This case is relatively unusual, as Defen	dant Solo World Partners appeared in the case the	
	Nevada Bar No. 7434 ADAM ELLIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14514 MAINOR ELLIS, LLP 8367 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Phone: (702) 450-5000 Fax: (702) 733-1106 adam@me-injury.com Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT ELLEN REEVES, individually, Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVER YOUR MOBILITY, INC., a foreign corporation; SOLO WORLD PARTNERS, LLC, a foreign limited liability company; DOE EMPLOYEES I-V, individually; DOE MANAGERS I-V, individually; ROE MANUFACTURERS I-X; ROE INSPECTION COMPANIES I-V; ROE DISTRIBUTORS I-X; DOE INDIVIDUALS I-X; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I-X, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff ELLEN REEVES, by and through its content of the c	

day before discovery was to be completed. Plaintiff added Defendant Solo World via Motion, and the discovery period waned while Plaintiff effectuated service of process and while Defendant Solo World filed a responsive pleading. Thus, the parties seek discovery to be reopened.

II. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR DISCOVERY:

The parties believe one-hundred twenty days from the date of submission is sufficient to complete any remaining discovery, namely by and regarding Defendant Solo World Partners,

III. AMENDING THE PLEADINGS AND ADDING PARTIES:

This deadline has passed, and the parties do not believe it is necessary to extend this deadline.

The Discovery Cutoff will be September 17,

IV. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(A)(2) EXPERT DISCLOSURES:

LLC, as it appeared in the case on March 21, 2024.

In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), disclosures identifying experts shall be made 60 days prior to the discovery cutoff date. The deadline will be **July 19, 2024** for Defendant Solo World, as the deadline to disclose experts for Plaintiff and Defendant Discover Your Mobility, Inc. has already passed.

The parties believe the deadline for Rebuttal Expert Disclosures should be thirty (30) days after the deadline for initial disclosures, making the deadline **August 19, 2024**.

V. <u>DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS</u>:

The parties shall file dispositive motions 30 days after the discovery cutoff date, and therefore, not later than **October 17, 2024**.

VI. <u>PRETRIAL ORDER</u>:

If no dispositive motions are filed, and unless otherwise ordered by this Court, the Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, and therefore, not later than **November 18, 2024.**

In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the Joint Pretrial Order shall be suspended until 30 days after the Court enters a ruling on dispositive motions, or otherwise by further Court order. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3), and any objections thereto, shall be included in the Joint Pretrial Order.

VII. **ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:**

The parties certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute resolution processes including mediation or arbitration. While the parties are open to various means of resolution, they agree additional discovery must be obtained prior to scheduling any kind of settlement conference. However, the parties will continue the informal settlement discussions underway.

VIII. ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CASE DISPOSITION:

The parties hereby certify that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01). The parties have not agreed to trial by a magistrate judge or the use of the short trial program, at this time.

IX. **ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE:**

The parties did not come to any stipulations regarding providing discovery in an electronic format, and will evaluate the need for special procedures for electronic evidence should the need arise.

MAINOR ELLIS, LLP

HALL & EVANS, LLC

/s/ Adam Ellis BRADLEY S. MAINOR, ESQ. 18 Nevada Bar No. 7434 ADAM ELLIS, ESQ. 19 Nevada Bar No. 14514 20

8367 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Adam Knecht ADAM R. KNECHT, ESQ. 1160 North Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Def. Discover Your Mobility, Inc.

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

23 /s/ John Krieger Nevada Bar No. 6023 24

3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 800

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Email: jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com

Attorneys for Def. Solo World Partners, LLC

27

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

28

Case 2:22-cv-01361-GMN-DJA Document 40 Filed 06/03/24 Page 4 of 4

1 2	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' stipulated discovery plan (ECF No. 38) is GRANTED
3	
4	DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DATED: 6/3/2024
6	DITIED
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28